

How to Survive Regime Change



by James Corbett

corbettreport.com

February 12, 2022

Is your country on the hit list of [Soros](#) and/or [Omidyar](#) and/or [USAID](#) and/or the [NED](#) and/or any of the other [deep state Trojan horses](#) hiding behind their convenient "NGO" cutouts? Are you worried that a regime change operation is in the offing?

Well, fret not! Here's some good news for a change!

As it turns out, the colour revolution string-pullers—you know, the gaggle of inbred, eugenics-obsessed elitists whose awesome power is supposed to make us cower in fear—are not so omnipotent after all. In fact, sometimes they're a bunch of incompetent, bumbling, ivory tower buffoons, and I have the receipts to prove it.

Buckle in for this one, folks. It's an incredible story.

How To Topple A Government

As you know by now, the would-be world controllers have a number of tricks up their sleeve for overthrowing governments that dare defy their agenda.

Of course, there's always the plain old brute force method.



But I'm not talking about *militarily imposed* regime change here. I'm talking about the *subtler* tactics that the Evil Empire employs to undermine governments and install pliable puppet regimes around the globe.

There are, for instance, the so-called "colour revolutions"—synthetic protest movements that are fostered, supported or created out of whole cloth by groups like the [National Endowment for Democracy](#). These operations follow the [Gene Sharp handbook](#) for destabilizing a country and encourage people to rally around a colour or symbol (the [Rose Revolution](#) in Georgia, the Tulip Revolution in Kyrgyzstan, the [Orange Revolution](#) in Ukraine, etc.) on the path to overthrowing the government in power.

Then there are the [engineered crises](#) where, for example, snipers are sent into otherwise peaceful protests to shoot at both sides, creating chaos and undermining the ruling government's legitimacy in the court of public opinion (as happened in both [Ukraine](#) and [Syria](#)).

Of course, there's also the "[IMF riot](#),"—a four-step plan to economically cripple a country so that the IMF and/or World Bank can swoop in and "save" it (for the benefit of foreign investors).

And don't forget the debt-trap diplomacy [described by John Perkins](#), where corrupt dictators are bribed into selling off their nation's resources and infrastructure to foreign investors and plunging their country into debt, thus giving the Western

financial interests political leverage over future governments.

There's even the plain old assassination of inconvenient political figures, a tried-and-true method for taking opponents off of the geopolitical chessboard that has worked [time](#) and [again](#) in [nation](#) after [nation](#) (and even, when needed, [at home](#)).

How NOT To Topple A Government

But for every perfectly orchestrated, Machiavellian scheme for overthrowing an uncooperative foreign leader, there are a thousand examples of stupidity and incompetence that reveal that *Oz! The Great and Powerful!* is in fact just a sickly, pathetic old man hiding behind a curtain, frantically poking at buttons and pulling levers in an attempt to baffle and bemuse the masses.

Remember when the "master planners" at the US State Department pretended that Juan Guaidó, the [least-popular man in Venezuela](#), was the country's "interim president" . . . but the Venezeulans were [having none of it](#)?

And remember when USAID tried to [infiltrate Cuba's hip-hop scene](#) "to break the information blockade" and spark "social change" in the country . . . but only succeeded in undermining Cuba's *actual* youth activist movement, causing some of the government's critics to [flee the country](#)?

Well, here's yet another story of incompetence that goes to show that the "All-Powerful World Controllers" are completely out of touch with reality and that, in fact, the people have *all* the power.

The story takes place in Zimbabwe, which has been on the globalist mafia's [hit list](#) since at least the early 2000s. That was when ex-British Prime Minister (and [unconvicted war criminal](#)) Tony Blair pressured the government of South Africa to [join a "regime change scheme"](#) designed to "physically remove" Robert Mugabe, then president of Zimbabwe. South Africa declined the invitation, but this did not derail the globalist plans for toppling Mugabe.

Enter Richard Branson.



Yes, *that* Richard Branson.

As Alexander Rubenstein explains in a [recent piece for The Grayzone](#):

In 2007, as the Zimbabwean economy sank into crisis under the weight of British and US sanctions, Branson offered to bankroll an “Elders” initiative to “convince Zimbabwean President Mugabe to step down,” according to a cable [sent](#) from the US embassy in Pretoria, South Africa to a number of governments and government agencies, including the CIA.

Although it sounds like some fictional gang of supervillains from a trashy James Bond novel, “The Elders” is in fact a very real organization (with [a website](#), even!), which [purports to](#) “engage with global leaders and civil society at all levels to resolve conflict and address its root causes, to challenge injustice, and to promote ethical leadership and good governance.”

Hmmm, let's see. “Engage with global leaders and civil society” in order to “promote ethical leadership and good governance,” hey? Let me run that through the [Globalese translator](#).

beep beep boop

Yes, just as I thought. It's a regime change organization. (Just check out their [leadership council](#) and their [advisory council](#).)

So what was The Elders' plan for effecting regime change in Zimbabwe? They joined forces with Strive Masiyiwa, a Zimbabwean billionaire and arch-globalist whose résumé includes [collaborating with Branson](#) on the formation of the “[Carbon War Room](#)” and assuming a brand new position as a [trustee of the Bill & Melinda](#)



Oh wait, you totally will. It's [the NED](#).

OK, so far, so perfectly expected. Rich and powerful businessmen colluded with other rich and powerful businessman to use NED-funded political opposition parties to bring about regime change in a target country. Nothing new here, right?

Well, here's the rub: As you may or may not know, Mugabe was *not* overthrown in 2007. Or 2008. Or 2009. In fact, he wasn't ousted until 2017 in an internal party coup that had nothing to do with The Elders.

So what went wrong?

Well, as Arthur Gwagwa of the Zimbabwe Human Rights NGO Forum [told](#) the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 2013:

Policies that are formulated on the basis of a Western conception that sanctions would work in predominantly agrarian countries such as Zimbabwe in the same way they would work in East Europe is misplaced. Unlike in urbanized societies, where sanctions might cajole people to protest and push for reforms, conditions are different in a country such as Zimbabwe where rural based populations have other livelihood means aside from bread, therefore the absence of bread in the shops will not prompt them to stage street protests. This was the MDC's original plan that they are now backtracking on as they have realized that it doesn't work.

Yes, you read that right. The galaxy-brained billionaires of the World Controlling Globalist Jet Set didn't even understand that their "IMF riot" playbook doesn't work in an agrarian society.

You can just imagine Branson and his cronies furrowing their brows in confusion: "What? These farmers aren't dependent on their government for their survival? So

how do we get them!?"

What It Means

Now, full credit for this little anecdote goes to Alexander Rubenstein, who lays out all the pieces of this story (along with many, many other interesting tidbits) in [his article](#) on the newly appointed Gates Foundation trustees, which is well worth your time and attention.

But let me draw out the significance of this story for the hard of thinking.

Firstly, the technocratic planners of the New World Order are not omniscient, omnipotent, or even necessarily competent. They are bumbling boobs who often know little about the actual lived reality of the people whose lives they presume to be able to run.

Secondly, this is *not* a story about governments and regime change and 2D geopolitical chess. This is a story that reaffirms an incredibly important point: A free people who are not dependent on their government *don't care* who is pretending to rule over them at any given time, and they don't worry about what international sanctions are being imposed on "their" government by the financial oligarchs. They'll just continue going on with the *real* work of putting food on their table and providing for themselves.

People who are interested in further pursuing this line of thought are *highly* encouraged to check out my Film Literature and the New World Order podcast on